



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingmia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,169	02/07/2002	Gregory M. Wright	16159.072001	16159.072001 1320	
32615	7590 07/02/2003				
ROSENTHAL & OSHA L.L.P. / SUN			EXAMINER		
1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			MCLEAN MAYO, KIMBERLY N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			2187	"ל	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		PPG				
t	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/072,169	WRIGHT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo	2187				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of th	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 I</u>	February 2002 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 2	153 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application	١.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2002</u> is/are						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		. ()				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)	. ,					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/072,169

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

1. The enclosed detailed action is in response to the Information Disclosure Statement and the Application submitted on February 7, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-9 and 19-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Henderson et al. (USPN: 6,446,188).

Regarding claims 1, 3-4, 7 and 9, Henderson discloses a computer system comprising a processor (Figure 2A); a processor (Figure 2A, Reference 204); an object cache operatively connected to the processor (Figure 2A, Reference 210); a memory (Figure 2A, memory coupled to Reference 206; C 4, L 66); a translator interposed between the object cache and the memory, wherein the translator maps an object address, (which is generated by the processor using the addressing format of the system [extended address encoding], which embeds [maps] the address into an unused part of a physical address range), to a physical address within the memory, thereby converting operations using the object address into operations using the physical address (Figure 3A, Reference 310; C 3, L 15-20; C 5, L 28-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/072,169

Art Unit: 2187

Regarding claim 2, Henderson discloses the object address comprising an object identification number and an offset (refer to Figure 3A, References 308a – 308c).

Regarding claim 5, Henderson discloses the translator mapping the object address to the physical address using a table (Figure 3A, Reference 316).

Regarding claim 6, Henderson discloses using an extended instruction set (the instruction set of the processor is interpreted as the extended instruction set).

Regarding claim 8, Henderson discloses loading a plurality of cache lines from the memory (when plural cache misses occur, plural cache lines are retrieved from main memory and loaded into the cache memory).

Regarding claims 19-20, 25, 28-29 and 34, Henderson discloses a method for retrieving an object comprising obtaining an object address corresponding to the object (object address is obtained from the virtual address output from a processor); determining if the object address corresponds to a tag in a tag array of a cache and retrieving the object address if the tag corresponding to the object address is in the tag array (C 7, L 10-20); translating the object address into a physical address if the object address is not in the tag array using a translator (using table 316 in Reference 316 of Figure 3A; C 5, L 61-67; C 6, L 1-5); and retrieving a cache line [from main memory] using the physical address if the object address is not in the tag array and entering the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/072,169

Art Unit: 2187

cache line into the cache (when a cache miss occurs a cache line is retrieved from main

memory).

Regarding claims 21-22 and 30-31, Henderson discloses the object address comprising an object identification number and an offset (refer to Figure 3A, References 308a – 308c).

Regarding claims 23-24, 26, 32-33 and 35, Henderson discloses an object address, (which is generated by the processor using the addressing format of the system [extended address encoding], which embeds [maps] the address into an unused part of a physical address range), to a physical address within the memory, thereby converting operations using the object address into operations using the physical address (Figure 3A, Reference 310; C 3, L 15-20; C 5, L 28-30).

Regarding claims 27 and 36, Henderson discloses loading a plurality of cache lines from the memory (when plural cache misses occur, plural cache lines are retrieved from main memory and loaded into the cache memory).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,169

Art Unit: 2187

Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank 5. (PGPUB: US 2002/0178341) in view of Henderson et al. (USPN: 6,446,188). Regarding claims 10, 12-13, 16 and 18, Frank discloses a computer system (Figure 3) comprising a plurality of processors (Figure 3, References labeled Computer, such as References 108 and 120); an object cache operatively connected to the plurality of processors (Figure 3. Reference 112); a memory (Figure 3, Reference 114 – memory which contains website information for Reference 114; Page 4, Section [0036], lines 5+). Frank does not explicitly disclose a translator interposed between the object cache and the memory, wherein the translator maps an object address to a physical address within the memory wherein the translator maps an object address, (which is generated by the processor using the addressing format of the system [extended address encoding], which embeds [maps] the object address into an unused part of a physical address range), to a physical address within the memory, thereby converting operations using the object address into operations using the physical address. Henderson teaches the concept of a translator interposed between the object cache and the memory, wherein the translator maps an object address, (which is generated by the processor using the addressing format of the system [extended address encoding], which embeds [maps] the address into an unused part of a physical address range), to a physical address within the memory, thereby converting operations using the object address into operations using the physical address (Figure 3A, Reference 310; C 3, L 15-20; C 5, L 28-30). This feature taught by Henderson provides an efficient means for allocating objects to the processor by mapping the objects into the physical address space. Although not disclosed by Frank, means for translating an object address [address from processor] to a physical address is required since the addresses output from a processor is

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/072,169

Art Unit: 2187

not the type of address used by the physical memory devices and thus in order to access the physical memory devices a translation is required. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Henderson's teachings with the teachings of Frank for the desirable purpose of necessity and efficiency.

Regarding claim 11, the system taught by Frank and Henderson discloses the object address comprising an object identification number and an offset (Henderson - refer to Figure 3A, References 308a – 308c).

Regarding claim 14, the system taught by Frank and Henderson discloses the translator mapping the object address to the physical address using a table (Figure 3A, Reference 316).

Regarding claim 15, the system taught by Frank and Henderson discloses using an extended instruction set (the instruction set of the processors is interpreted as the extended instruction set).

Regarding claim 17, the system taught by Frank and Henderson discloses loading a plurality of cache lines from the memory (when plural cache misses occur, plural cache lines are retrieved from main memory and loaded into the cache memory).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/072,169

Art Unit: 2187

Duvillier – PGPUB: US 2002/0103819 – object cache.

Huber – USPN: 6,070,173 – object cache.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo whose telephone number is 703-308-9592. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 - 6:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 703-308-1756. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7329 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2100.

Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo

Art Unit 2187

KNM

June 25, 2003